## **Quicksort** Prepared by Suk Jin Lee

## Overview

### Quicksort

- Sorts "in place"
- Sorts O(*n* lg *n*) in the average case
- Sorts  $O(n^2)$  in the worst case
  - But in practice, it's quick
  - And the worst case doesn't happen often

### Quicksort

- Uses a Divide and conquer strategy
- Sorts "in place" (cf. Mergesort needs extra space)
- Very practical (with tuning)
- The original problem partitioned into simpler subproblems
- Each sub problem considered independently
- Unlike merge sort, no combining step: two subarrays form an already-sorted array

### Divide and conquer

- **Divide**: partition the array A[p ldots r] into two subarrays A[p ldots q-1] and A[q+1 ldots r] such that each element of  $A[p ldots q-1] \le A[q] \le A[q+1 ldots r]$
- Conquer: sort the two subarrays A[p . . q 1] and A[q + 1 . . r] by recursive calls to quicksort
- **Combine**: subarrays already sorted. No work needed

**QUICKSORT**(A, p, r)

- **1. if** p < r
- 2. q = PARTITION(A, p, r)
- 3. **QUICKSORT**(A, p, q-1)
- 4. **QUICKSORT**(A, q + 1, r)

Initial call is QUICKSORT(A, 1, n)

- Clearly, all the action takes place in the PARTITION() function
  - Rearrange the subarray in place
  - End result:
    - Two subarrays
    - All values in first subarray ≤ all values in second
    - Returns the index of the "pivot" element separating the two subarrays

Partition procedure

#### PARTITION(A, p, r)

- 1. x = A[r] // select the last element in A[] as the pivot
- 2. i = p 1
- 3. **for** j = p to r 1
- 4. **if**  $A[j] \leq x$
- 5. i = i + 1
- 6. exchange A[i] with A[j]
- 7. exchange A[i+1] with A[r]
- **8**. **return** *i* + 1

Partition procedure

#### PARTITION(A, p, r)

- 1. x = A[r] // select the last element in A[] as the pivot
- 2. i = p 1
- 3. **for** j = p to r 1
- 4. **if**  $A[j] \leq x$
- 5. i = i + 1
- 6. exchange A[i] with A[j]
- 7. exchange A[i+1] with A[r]
- **8**. **return** *i* + 1

What is the running time of PARTITION()?

Partition procedure

#### PARTITION(A, p, r) 1. x = A[r] // select the last element in A[] as the pivot

- 2. i = p 1
- 3. **for** j = p to r 1
- 4. **if**  $A[j] \leq x$
- 5. i = i + 1
- 6. exchange A[i] with A[j]
- 7. exchange A[i+1] with A[r]
- **8**. **return** *i* + 1

What is the running time of PARTITION()? PARTITION() runs in  $\Theta(n)$ 

#### Operation of Partition



p i		j					r
2	8	7	1	3	5	6	4

 $A[j] = 2 \le \text{Pivot } 4 = A[r]. \ i = i + 1$ then exchange A[i] with A[j]

A[j] >Pivot 4, no increase of i

A[j] >Pivot 4, no increase of i

#### Operation of Partition



 $A[j] \le \text{Pivot 4.} \quad i = i + 1 \text{ then}$ exchange A[i] with A[j]

 $A[j] \le \text{Pivot 4.} \quad i = i + 1 \text{ then}$ exchange A[i] with A[j]

A[j] >Pivot 4, no increase of i

#### Operation of Partition



A[j] >Pivot 4, no increase of i

j > r - 1, escape the Loop Exchange A[i + 1] with A[r]

The pivot lies between the two partitions

• Four regions maintained by the procedure PARTITION on a subarray *A*[*p* ... *r*]



The running time of PARTITION on subarray  $A[p \dots r]$  is  $\Theta(n)$ 

# Quiz 1

• Using the previous figure model, illustrate the operation of PARTITION on the array  $A = \langle 13, 19, 9, 5, 12, 8, 7, 4, 21, 2, 6, 11 \rangle$ 

# Performance of Quicksort

Prepared by Suk Jin Lee

## **Quicksort Analysis**

- What will be the worst case for the algorithm?
  - Partition is always unbalanced
- What will be the best case for the algorithm?
  - Partition is perfectly balanced
- Which is more likely?
  - The latter
- Will any particular input elicit the worst case?
  - Yes: Already-sorted input

## Worst-case partitioning

- Worst-case partitioning
  - Produces one subproblem with *n* 1 elements and one with 0 elements

 $T(n) = T(n-1) + T(0) + \Theta(n) // \Theta(n)$ : partitioning cost

• Partitioning costs  $\Theta(n)$ 



## Worst-case partitioning

- Worst-case partitioning
  - Produces one subproblem with *n* 1 elements and one with 0 elements

 $T(n) = T(n-1) + T(0) + \Theta(n) // \Theta(n): \text{ partitioning cost}$ =  $T(n-1) + \Theta(n) // T(0) = \Theta(1)$ =  $T(n-2) + \Theta(n) + \Theta(n) = T(n-2) + 2 \cdot \Theta(n)$ ..... =  $T(n-k) + \Theta(n) + (k-1) \cdot \Theta(n) = T(n-k) + k \cdot \Theta(n)$ =  $\Theta(n^2)$ 

## Worst-case partitioning

- Worst-case partitioning
  - Produces one subproblem with *n* 1 elements and one with 0 elements
    - $T(n) = T(n-1) + T(0) + \Theta(n) // \Theta(n): \text{ partitioning cost}$  $= \Theta(n^2)$
    - Same running time as insertion sort
  - In fact, the worst-case running time occur when quicksort takes a sorted array as input, but insertion sort runs in O(*n*) time in this case

## **Best-case partitioning**

- Best-case partitioning
  - If we're really lucky, produces two subproblem each with n/2

 $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$ ,  $\Theta(n)$ : partitioning cost

## **Best-case partitioning**

- Best-case partitioning
  - If we're really lucky, produces two subproblem each with n/2

 $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$ 

,  $\Theta(n)$ : partitioning cost

• Using master theorem

• 
$$a = 2, b = 2, f(n) = \Theta(n)$$
  
•  $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 2}) = \Theta(n)$ 

• Case 2 applies:

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 2} \lg n) = \Theta(n \lg n)$$

## **Best-case partitioning**

- Best-case partitioning
  - If we're really lucky, produces two subproblem each with n/2

 $T(n) = 2T(n / 2) + \Theta(n) , \Theta(n): \text{ partitioning cost}$  $= \Theta(n \lg n)$ 

• By equally balancing the two sides of the partition at every level of the recursion, we get an asymptotically faster algorithm

# **Balanced partitioning**

- Balanced partitioning
  - Quicksort's average running time is much closer to the best case than to the worst case
  - Imagine that PARTITION always produces a 9-to-1 split We obtain the recurrence:

 $T(n) = T(9n / 10) + T(n / 10) + \Theta(n)$ 

## **Balanced partitioning**

- Balanced partitioning
  - What if the split is always 9-to-1?

 $T(n) = T(9n / 10) + T(n / 10) + \Theta(n)$ 



 $cn\log_{10}n \le T(n) \le cn\log_{10/9}n + O(n) = O(n \log \frac{n}{23})$ 

- Intuition for the average case
  - Splits in the recursion tree will not always be constant
  - There will usually be a mix of good and bad splits throughout the recursion tree
  - To see that this doesn't affect the asymptotic running time of quicksort, assume that levels alternate between best-case (good) and worst-case (bad) splits

- Intuition for the average case
  - Two levels of a recursion tree for quicksort
    - Partitioning cost:  $\Theta(n) + \Theta(n-1) = \Theta(n)$



- Intuition for the average case
  - A single level of a recursion tree for quicksort
    - Partitioning cost:  $\Theta(n)$



 Suppose we alternate lucky, unlucky, lucky, unlucky, lucky, ....

$L(n) = 2U(n/2) + \Theta(n)$	lucky
$U(n) = L(n-1) + \Theta(n)$	unlucky

Solving:

$$L(n) = 2(L(n/2 - 1) + \Theta(n/2)) + \Theta(n)$$
  
=  $2L(n/2 - 1) + \Theta(n)$   
=  $\Theta(n \lg n)$  : Master theorem case 2 applies

• How can we make sure we are usually lucky?

# Quiz 2 (1)

• Use the substitution method to prove that the recurrence  $T(n) = T(n - 1) + \Theta(n)$  has the solution  $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ 

# Quiz 2 (2)

- Use the substitution method to prove that the recurrence  $T(n) = T(n 1) + \Theta(n)$  has the solution  $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ 
  - We guess that  $T(n) \leq O(n^2)$   $T(n) \leq c_1(n-1)^2 + \Theta(n)$   $\leq c_1(n-1)^2 + c_0 n$   $\leq c_1n^2 - (2c_1 - c_0)n + c_1$  $\leq c_1n^2$  for  $n_0 \geq 1$  and  $c_0 > c_1$

Thus  $T(n) \in O(n^2)$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $T(n) \in \Omega(n^2)$ .

# Quiz 3 (1)

• What is the running time of Quicksort when all elements of array *A* have the same value?

# Quiz 3 (2)

- What is the running time of Quicksort when all elements of array *A* have the same value?
  - If all elements are the same, the quick sort partition return index *q* = *r*.
  - The problem with size *n* is reduced to one sub-problem with size *n* 1:

 $T(n) = T(n - 1) + \Theta(n)$ ,  $\Theta(n)$  is a partitioning cost

# A Randomized version of Quicksort

Prepared by Suk Jin Lee

# Randomized Quicksort

- Randomized version of quicksort
  - We have assumed that all input permutations are equally likely.
  - This is not always true.
  - To correct this, we add randomization to quicksort.

## Randomized Quicksort

- *Idea*: instead of always *A*[*r*] as the pivot, we will select a randomly chosen element from the subarray *A*[*p* . . *r*]
  - Running time is independent of the input order.
  - No assumptions need to be made about the input distribution.
  - No specific input elicits the worst-case behavior.
  - The worst case is determined only by the output of a random-number generator.

## **Randomized Quicksort**

#### **RANDOMIZED-PARTITION**(A, p, r)

- i = RANDOM(p, r)
- 2. exchange A[r] with A[i]
- 3. return PARTITION(A, p, r)

Randomly selecting the pivot element will, on average, cause the split of the input array to be reasonably well balanced

#### **RANDOMIZED-QUICKSORT**(A, p, r)

- $1. \quad if p < r$
- 2. q = RANDOMIZED-PARTITION(A, p, r)
- 3. **RANDOMIZED-QUICKSORT**(A, p, q-1)
- 4. **RANDOMIZED-QUICKSORT**(A, q + 1, r)

# **Analysis of Quicksort**

Prepared by Suk Jin Lee

- For simplicity, assume:
  - All inputs distinct (no repeats)
  - Slightly different PARTITION() procedure
    - Partition around a random element, which is not included in subarrays
    - All splits (0:*n*-1, 1:*n*-2, 2:*n*-3, ..., n-1:0) equally likely

- For simplicity, assume:
  - All inputs distinct (no repeats)
  - Slightly different PARTITION() procedure
    - Partition around a random element, which is not included in subarrays
    - All splits (0:*n*-1, 1:*n*-2, 2:*n*-3, ..., n-1:0) equally likely
  - What is the probability of a particular split happening?
    - Answer: 1/n

- So partition generates splits (0:n-1, 1:n-2, 2:n-3, ..., n-1:0) each with probability 1/n
- If T(n) is the expected running time  $T(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ T(k) + T(n-1-k) \right] + \Theta(n)$
- What is each term under the summation for?
- What is the  $\Theta(n)$  term for?

• So...

$$T(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ T(k) + T(n-1-k) \right] + \Theta(n)$$

$$=\frac{2}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}T(k)+\Theta(n)$$

• Note: this is just like the recurrence expect that the summation starts with *k* = 0

- We can solve this recurrence using the substitution method
  - Guess the answer
  - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
  - Substitute it in for some value < *n*
  - Prove that it follows for *n*

- We can solve this recurrence using the substitution method
  - Guess the answer
    - What's the answer?
  - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
  - Substitute it in for some value < *n*
  - Prove that it follows for *n*

- We can solve this recurrence using the substitution method
  - Guess the answer
    - $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
  - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
  - Substitute it in for some value < *n*
  - Prove that it follows for *n*

- We can solve this recurrence using the substitution method
  - Guess the answer
    - $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
  - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
    - What's the inductive hypothesis?
  - Substitute it in for some value < *n*
  - Prove that it follows for *n*

- We can solve this recurrence using the substitution method
  - Guess the answer
    - $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
  - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
    - $T(n) \le an \lg n + b$  for some constant a and b
  - Substitute it in for some value < *n*
  - Prove that it follows for *n*

- We can solve this recurrence using the substitution method
  - Guess the answer
    - $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
  - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
    - $T(n) \le an \lg n + b$  for some constant a and b
  - Substitute it in for some value < *n* 
    - What value?
  - Prove that it follows for *n*

- We can solve this recurrence using the substitution method
  - Guess the answer
    - $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
  - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
    - $T(n) \le an \lg n + b$  for some constant a and b
  - Substitute it in for some value < *n* 
    - The value *k* in the recurrence
  - Prove that it follows for *n*

$$T(n) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T(k) + \Theta(n)$$
  

$$\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (ak \lg k + b) + \Theta(n)$$
  

$$\leq \frac{2}{n} \left[ b + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (ak \lg k + b) \right] + \Theta(n)$$
  

$$= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (ak \lg k + b) + \frac{2b}{n} + \Theta(n)$$
  

$$= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (ak \lg k + b) + \Theta(n)$$

The recurrence to be solved

Plug in inductive hypothesis

Expand out the k = 0 case

2b/n is just a constant, so fold it into  $\Theta(n)$ 

Note: leaving the recurrence

$$T(n) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (ak \lg k + b) + \Theta(n)$$
  
=  $\frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} ak \lg k + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b + \Theta(n)$   
=  $\frac{2a}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k + \frac{2b}{n} (n-1) + \Theta(n)$   
 $\leq \frac{2a}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k + 2b + \Theta(n)$ 

This summation gets its own set of slides later

The recurrence to be solved

**Distribute the summation** 

Evaluate the summation: b + b + ... + b = b (n - 1)

Since n - 1 < n, 2b(n - 1)/n < 2b

$$T(n) \leq \frac{2a}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k + 2b + \Theta(n)$$
  
The recurrence to be solved  
$$\leq \frac{2a}{n} \left( \frac{1}{2} n^2 \lg n - \frac{1}{8} n^2 \right) + 2b + \Theta(n)$$
  
We'll prove this later  
$$= an \lg n - \frac{a}{4} n + 2b + \Theta(n)$$
  
Distribute the (2a/n) term  
$$= an \lg n + b + \left( \Theta(n) + b - \frac{a}{4} n \right)$$
  
Remember, our goal is to get  
 $T(n) \leq an \lg n + b$   
Pick a large enough that

50

an/4 dominates  $\Theta(n)+b$ 

- So  $T(n) \le an \lg n + b$  for certain a and b
  - Thus the induction holds
  - Thus  $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
  - Thus quicksort runs in O(*n* lg *n*) time on average (phew!)

## **Quicksort in practice**

- Quicksort is a great general-purpose sorting algorithm.
- Quicksort is typically over twice as fast as merge sort.
- Quicksort can benefit substantially from code tuning.
- Quicksort behaves well even with caching and virtual memory.

# Oh yeah, the summation



Split the summation for a tighter bound

The lg k in the second term is bounded by lg n

Move the lg *n* outside the summation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} k \lg k + \lg n \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} k$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} k \lg (n/2) + \lg n \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} k$$
  
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} k (\lg n - 1) + \lg n \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} k$$
  
$$= (\lg n - 1) \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} k + \lg n \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} k$$

The summation bound so far

The lg k in the first term is bounded by lg n/2

 $\lg n/2 = \lg n - 1$ 

Move (lg *n* - 1) outside the summation



$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k \leq \left(\frac{(n-1)(n)}{2}\right) \lg n - \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k \qquad \text{The summation bound so far}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} [n(n-1)] \lg n - \sum_{k=1}^{n/2-1} k \qquad \text{Rearrange first term, place upper bound on second}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} [n(n-1)] \lg n - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \qquad \text{X Guassian series}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} (n^2 \lg n - n \lg n) - \frac{1}{8} n^2 + \frac{n}{4} \qquad \text{Multiply it all out}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k \le \frac{1}{2} \left( n^2 \lg n - n \lg n \right) - \frac{1}{8} n^2 + \frac{n}{4}$$
$$\le \frac{1}{2} n^2 \lg n - \frac{1}{8} n^2 \text{ when } n \ge 2$$

Done!!!